1	KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP	
2	Tobias S. Keller (#151445) (tkeller@kbkllp.com)	
3	Peter J. Benvenutti (#60566) (pbenvenutti@kbkllp.com)	
4	Jane Kim (#298192) (jkim@kbkllp.com)	
5	650 California Street, Suite 1900 San Francisco, CA 94108	
6	Tel: 415 496 6723	
7	Fax: 650 636 9251	
8	Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors	
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11		Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)
12	In re:	Chapter 11
13	PG&E CORPORATION,	(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)
14	- and -	DECLARATION OF ROBB MCWILLIAMS
15	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,	IN SUPPORT OF REORGANIZED DEBTORS' FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (DUPLICATIVE
16	Debtors.	CLAIMS)
17		Response Deadline: January 26, 2021, 4:00 p.m. (PT)
18	☐ Affects PG&E Corporation ☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company	
19	■ Affects both Debtors * All a recover the U.b. Gladin the Lord Case No.	Hearing Information If Timely Response Made: Date: February 9, 2021
20	* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).	Time: 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time) Place: (Telephonic Appearances Only)
21		United States Bankruptcy Court Courtroom 17, 16th Floor
22		San Francisco, CA 94102
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
Ca		Entered: 12/23/20 14:19:03 Page 1 of
	4	

- I, Robb C. McWilliams, pursuant to section 1746 of title 28 of the United States Code, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief:
- 1. I am a Managing Director at the firm of AlixPartners, LLP ("AlixPartners"), which is an affiliate of both AlixPartners, LLC and AP Services, LLC, ("APS"). APS was previously retained to provide interim management services to PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company, as debtors and reorganized debtors (collectively, the "Debtors," and as reorganized pursuant to the Plan, the "Reorganized Debtors") in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases"). I submit this Declaration in support of the Reorganized Debtors' Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Duplicative Claims) (the "Omnibus Objection"), 1 filed contemporaneously herewith.
- 2. In my current position, I am responsible for overseeing the Bankruptcy Case Management component of AlixPartners' assignment to assist the Reorganized Debtors with various matters related to these Chapter 11 Cases. My area of responsibility includes the effort by AlixPartners, in coordination with the Reorganized Debtors, to review and assess the validity of all claims asserted against the Debtors, other than (a) Fire Claims and Subrogation Wildfire Claims and (b) providing limited support with respect to Securities Claims. I am generally familiar with the Reorganized Debtors' day-to-day operations, financing arrangements, business affairs, and books and records. Except as otherwise indicated herein, all facts set forth in this Declaration are based upon my personal knowledge, the knowledge of other APS professionals working under and alongside me on this matter, my discussions with the Reorganized Debtors' personnel, the Reorganized Debtors' various other advisors and counsel, and my review of relevant documents and information prepared by the Reorganized Debtors. If called upon to testify, I would testify competently to the facts set forth in this Declaration. I am authorized to submit this declaration on behalf of the Reorganized Debtors.
- 3. The AlixPartners team under my supervision has been actively and intimately involved in the claims review and reconciliation process since shortly after the filing of these Chapter 11 Cases. AlixPartners initially assisted the Debtors in the preparation of their bankruptcy schedules based on the

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Omnibus Objection.

Debtors' books and records. As claims were filed, AlixPartners coordinated with the Debtors the process of reconciling filed claims with the Debtors' schedules and books and records to determine the validity of filed claims based on those schedules and books and records. AlixPartners has developed and maintains a claims reconciliation database and various data management applications that are used by the Reorganized Debtors and AlixPartners to identify both valid claims as well as claims that are not valid in whole or in part and the appropriate grounds for objection to such claims. AlixPartners is now supporting, and will continue to support, the efforts of the Reorganized Debtors and their counsel to resolve disputed claims, including by formal objections as necessary.

- 4. As part of the claims review and reconciliation process described above, the AlixPartners team, working with the Reorganized Debtors' personnel and other professionals, has identified a number of filed Proofs of Claim for which the Reorganized Debtors are not liable. If not disallowed, these Proofs of Claim potentially could allow the applicable claimants to receive recoveries to which they are not entitled.
- 5. The Omnibus Objection is directed to some of those Proofs of Claim—those specifically identified in **Exhibit 1** to the Omnibus Objection, in the column headed "Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged," and referred to in the Omnibus Objection as "Duplicative Claims." **Exhibit 1** to the Omnibus Objection was prepared by the AlixPartners team under my overall supervision, and I am familiar with both documents, their contents, and the process under which they were prepared.
- 6. The Duplicative Claims have been identified as duplicates of other claims that are not being objected to—the Surviving Claims, identified as such in the column bearing the heading "Surviving Claims" on **Exhibit 1**. The duplication arises because the Duplicative Claim and the Surviving Claim are (1) identical (both were filed against the same Debtor on account of the same obligation for the same amount and priority); (2) substantively identical (both were filed against the same Debtor on account of the same obligation for the same amount but assert a different priority); or (3) partially identical (the asserted amount of one Claim is subsumed within a larger claim). In all cases, the later-filed identical claim does not express an intention to amend the earlier filed claim. The Surviving Claim, which may have been filed earlier or later than the other identical claim(s), has been designated as such for the administrative convenience of the Reorganized Debtors. In cases where the

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 9904 Filed: 12/23/20 Entered: 12/23/20 14:19:03 Page 3 o

Duplicative Claims assert different priorities, the Reorganized Debtors seek to disallow and expunge unsecured claims and leave the asserted priority claims as Surviving Claims.

7. The Omnibus Objection does not affect any of the Surviving Claims. There is a single Surviving Claim for each underlying claim asserted against the Debtors by a Duplicative Claim that is the subject of the Omnibus Objection.² If the Omnibus Objection is sustained and the Duplicative Claims are disallowed, the Surviving Claims will remain as active claims on the claims register.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. Executed this twenty-third day of December, 2020, in Dallas, Texas.

/s/ Robb McWilliams
Robb McWilliams

² In some instances the same Surviving Claim appears in multiple entries in the "Surviving Claims" column on <u>Exhibit 1</u>. The multiple entries mean that there were multiple Duplicative Claims filed with respect to the same underlying obligation, and the identified Surviving Claim listed in multiple entries is the sole remaining Proof of Claim for all of the corresponding Duplicative Claims listed in the "Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged" column.